

SENATOR SCHMIT: Uh huh. Well, can they actually change the purpose for which the funding was originally appropriated? Is there any way that they can do that?

SENATOR WARNER: Change the purpose...

SENATOR SCHMIT: For which the funding was originally appropriated.

SENATOR WARNER: Well, I would...I am trying to think if there is an exception. Generally, Senator, if money was authorized for a particular building, that is what they would be obligated to do...

SENATOR SCHMIT: Uh huh.

SENATOR WARNER: ...and the only thing they would be authorized to spend it on.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Uh huh. I want to apologize again. I am referring back to LB 1252, page 4, line 17, it says, "contingency statewide". Can you tell me what that is for?

SENATOR WARNER: What was the question?

SENATOR SCHMIT: It is under Section 5 on page 4 of LB 1252, line 17, it says, "contingency".

SENATOR WARNER: Is this...I don't have the page in front of me. Is this...for which building?

SENATOR SCHMIT: LB 1252, Senator.

SENATOR WARNER: Well, there are contingencies authorized, I guess, in almost every contract on facilities so that they cannot exceed the total appropriation. Even though those contingencies are in there, those work order changes, those kind of things may occur.

SENATOR SCHMIT: Uh huh. Well, I recognize there probably has to be some flexibility and in the long run it is probably cost efficient to provide that. Referring back to LB 723 of last year, I am just going to...don't expect you to be current on this, but there is an appropriation printed in there for miscellaneous improvements statewide, Department of Roads. Is the Department given some